

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,086	10/14/2004	Tadashi Kitahara	046124-5329	6571
9629	7590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			WILLIAMS, DON J	
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,086	KITAHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Don Williams	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2,6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		Ç				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
,						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ○ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05 November 2004</u> .	6) Other:	atent Approation (FTO-192)				
	* * I * · · ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: "a casing a having" appears to be a typographical error. It appears that it should be, "a casing having".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams (4,094,703).

As to claim 1, Williams discloses a solar energy converter that is functionally equivalent to a light detecting sensor as claimed. Williams solar energy converter includes an electric insulated support base or substrate (48), electrode elements 32-35 functioning as cathodes with cathode front surfaces (42) discharging photoelectrons through the incidence of light rays (44); the rearward surface (46) of the electrode elements (32-36) function as anodes collecting the photoelectrons discharged from the cathodes front surfaces (42) and a casing or enclosed vacuum (64, 66) having a space storing electric insulated support base or substrate (48), cathode front surfaces (42)

along with anode rearward surfaces (46) of electrode elements (32-36) with the space being evacuated, cathode front surfaces (42) along with anode rearward surfaces (46) of electrode elements (32-36) are provided on the same surface of an insulated support base or substrate (48), (see figure 2, column 5, lines 35-67, column 7, lines 48-67).

As to claim 3, Williams discloses that the light detecting sensor has a plurality of anodes (46), (see figure 2, column 5, lines 40-44, column 7, lines 48-67).

As to claim 4, Williams discloses that the light detecting sensor having electrode elements 32-35 functioning as cathodes with cathode front surfaces (42) discharging photoelectrons through the incidence of ultraviolet light rays (44), (see column 3, lines 43-48, column 4, lines 50-57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view Axmark et al (3,732,452).

As to claim 5, Williams discloses a solar energy converter that is functionally equivalent to a light detecting sensor as claimed. Williams discloses that the light detecting sensor having electrode elements (32-35) function as cathodes with cathode front surfaces (42) and the rearward surface (46) of the electrode elements (32-36)

Art Unit: 2878

function as anodes. Williams fails to disclose that the width of the cathode is larger than the width of the anode. Axmark et al disclose the widths of anodes (12a, 12b) are less than the width of cathode (10). It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to modify Williams to include cathodes with larger widths than the anodes as disclosed by Axmarx et al to improve the increase or magnitude of photoelectron emission resulting in a stronger electric field allowing the light detecting system to perform at an optimal level, (see figure 2, column 2, lines 36-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art discloses all the limitations as set forth above, but lacks a clear teaching of the cathode having a comb tooth shape with a plurality of principle cathode parts radially extended and a diverging cathode part intersecting the principle cathode part, an anode having a comb tooth shape with a plurality of principle anode parts radially extended and a diverging anode part intersecting the principle anode part, and diverging cathode part and diverging anode part overlap and mutually engaged each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,086 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-8538. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a.m. to 5:30a.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Georgis/Critical Supervisory Fatent Examiner Technology Center 2800